



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND  
DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, DC 20231  
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

JAN 15 2003

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lawrence E. Ashery  
Ratner & Prestia  
One Westlakes, Berwyn  
Suite 301  
P.O. Box 980  
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980

In re application of :  
Joseph H. Holland, et al :  
Application No. 09/585,057 :  
Filed: June 1, 2000 :  
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR :  
MANAGING DATA IN A BUSINESS :  
TO BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT :

DECISION ON REQUEST  
FOR WITHDRAWAL OF  
ATTORNEY

This is a decision on the request filed on November 26, 2002, under 37 CFR 1.36 and MPEP 402.06, requesting permission to withdraw as the attorney of record in the above-identified application.

The request is **NOT APPROVED**.


Under 37 CFR 1.36 an attorney may withdraw only upon application to and approval by the Commissioner. It should be noted that a withdrawal is effective when approved, not when filed. Besides giving due notice to his or her client and delivering to the client all papers and property to which the client is entitled as specified under 37 CFR 10.40, approval of such a request requires that the following conditions be met:

- A) Each attorney of record must sign the notice of withdrawal, or the notice must contain a clear indication of one attorney signing on behalf of another, because the Office does not recognize law firms;
- B) A proper reason for the withdrawal as enumerated in 37 CFR 10.40(b) or subsection (1)-(6) of 37 CFR 10.40(c) must be provided; and
- C) If withdrawal is requested in accordance with 37 CFR 10.40(c) above, there must be at least 30 days between approval of the

withdrawal and the later of the expiration date of a time period for reply or the expiration date of the period which can be obtained by a petition and fee for extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The request to withdraw as attorney is not accepted in the above-identified application because the request lacks condition (B) above.

As to condition (B), the Power of Attorney was given by the inventors. The inventors are therefore the client until documentation in the form of assignment papers or a statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is provided. Further, it appears that the reason would fall under 37 CFR 10.40(b) rather than 37 CFR 10.40(c).

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kenneth J. Dorner  
Special Programs Examiner  
Patent Technology Center 3600  
(703) 308-3866  
Facsimile No.: (703) 605-0586

KJD/nlw